

Designing the sound of a cut-off drum

Pierre Martinetti*

†

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trieste

E-mail: pmartinetti@units.it

The spectral action in noncommutative geometry naturally implements an ultraviolet cut-off, by counting the eigenvalues of a (generalized) Dirac operator lower than an energy of unification. Inverting the well known question “how to hear the shape of a drum”, we ask what drum can be designed by hearing the truncated music of the spectral action ? This makes sense because the same Dirac operator also determines the metric, via Connes distance. The latter thus offers an original way to implement the high-momentum cut-off of the spectral action as a short distance cut-off on space. This is a non-technical presentation of the results of [8].

Frontiers of Fundamental Physics 14 - FFP14,

15-18 July 2014

Aix Marseille University (AMU) Saint-Charles Campus, Marseille

*Speaker.

†Supported by the Italian project “Prin 2010-11 - Operator Algebras, Noncommutative Geometry and Applications”.

1. Introduction

Cut-off are generally used to avoid undesirable divergencies occurring at small or very large scales. The scale is usually an energy scale E and the cut-off is implemented either on momentum p or on the wavelength λ . In various senses, these two implementations are dual to each other: a high momentum cut-off is equivalent to a short wavelength cut-off and vice-versa, as can be read e.g. in de Broglie relation $p = \frac{h}{\lambda}$. In this note we explore another possibility to give sense to this duality, based on the double nature of the (generalized) Dirac operator D which is at the heart of Connes approach to noncommutative geometry [6]. This is indeed the same operator D which

- provides an action which naturally incorporates a high energy cut-off. This is the *spectral action* [1]

$$\text{Tr } f\left(\frac{D}{\Lambda}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

where f is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, 1]$ and Λ a energy scale of unification. We refer to [2] for details on the choice of the operator D and how the asymptotic expansion $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ yields the standard model of elementary particles minimally coupled with (Euclidean) general relativity (see also [15] for an highly readable introduction to the subject, [3, 4] and [10, 11] for recent developments).

- defines a metric on the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ of states¹ of an algebra \mathcal{A} , provided the later acts on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} as D in such a way that

$$L_D(a) := ||[D, \pi(a)]|| \quad (1.2)$$

is finite for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (π denotes the representation of \mathcal{A} as bounded operators on \mathcal{H}). This is the *spectral distance* [5]

$$d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\varphi, \psi) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)|, L_D(a) \leq 1\} \quad (1.3)$$

for any φ, ψ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$. For $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ the algebra of smooth functions on a manifold \mathcal{M} and $D = \not{D}$ the usual Dirac operator of quantum field theory, the spectral distance computed between pure states (which are nothing but the points of \mathcal{M} , viewed as the application $\delta_x : f \rightarrow f(x)$) gives back the geodesic distance [7],

$$d_{C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \not{D}}(\delta_x, \delta_y) = d_{\text{geo}}(x, y). \quad (1.4)$$

The action (1.1) counts the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator smaller than the energy scale Λ , which amounts to cut-off the Fourier modes with energy greater than Λ . In other terms the spectral action naturally implements an ultraviolet cut-off as a high momentum cut-off. The question we adress in this note is: can this be read as a short wave-length cutoff in the distance formula (1.3) ? More precisely, by cutting-off the spectrum of the Dirac operator in the distance formula, does one transform the high-momentum cut-off Λ in a short distance cut-off λ ? Reversing the well known question on how to retrieve the shape of a drum from its vibration modes, our point here is to understand what drum can one design from hearing the spectral action ? We have shown in [8] that the answer is not obvious, and asks for a careful discussion on the nature of the points of the “cut-off drum”. We report here some of these results, in a non technical manner.

¹A state φ of \mathcal{A} is a linear application $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is positive ($\varphi(a^*a) \in \mathbb{R}^+$) and of norm 1 (in case \mathcal{A} is unital, this means $\varphi(1) = 1$).

2. Cutting-off the geometry

We implement a cut-off by the conjugate action of a projection P_Λ acting on \mathcal{H} :

$$D \rightarrow D_\Lambda := P_\Lambda D P_\Lambda. \quad (2.1)$$

Typically P_Λ is a projection on the eigenspaces of D , but for the moment it is simply a projection acting on \mathcal{H} . We are interested in computing the spectral distance on a manifold \mathcal{M} induced by this cut-off, that is formula (1.3) for $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ but with D substituted with D_Λ .

Suppose that D_Λ is a bounded operator with norm $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then one has [8, Prop. 5.1]

$$d_{C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), D_\Lambda}(\delta_x, \delta_y) \geq \Lambda^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

This seems to be precisely the answer one was expecting: by cutting-off the spectrum of D below Λ , one is not able to probe space with a resolution better than Λ^{-1} . Unfortunately (2.2) is an inequality, not an equality. There is as expected a lower bound to the resolution on the position space, but nothing guarantees that this bound is optimal. In particular if D_Λ has finite rank, then the distance is actually infinite [8, Prop.5.4]. For \mathcal{M} compact, this happens for instance when $P_\Lambda = P_N$ is the projection on the first N Fourier modes for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $D_\Lambda = D_N := P_N D P_N$ has finite rank and the distance between any two points is infinite,

$$d_{C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), D_N}(\delta_x, \delta_y) = \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

In other terms, cutting-off all but a finite number of Fourier modes destroys the metric structure of the manifold. It is an open question whether the distance remains finite for a bounded D_Λ with infinite rank.

Eq. (2.3) illustrates the tension between truncating the Dirac operator while keeping the usual notion of points. A solution to maintain a metric structure is to truncate point as well. This actually makes sense in full generality, that is for \mathcal{A} non-necessarily commutative, acting on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} together with an operator D such that $L_D(a)$ is finite for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Given a finite rank projection P_N in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we then define

$$\mathcal{O}_N := P_N \pi(\mathcal{A}_{sa}) P_N \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathcal{A}_{sa} is the set of selfadjoint elements of \mathcal{A} . The set \mathcal{O}_N has no reason to be an algebra but it has the structure of *ordered unit space*, which is sufficient to define its state space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ and to give sense to formula (1.3) (substituting the seminorm L_D with the seminorm

$$L_N := ||| [D_N, \cdot] ||| \quad (2.5)$$

and \mathcal{A} with \mathcal{O}_N). In addition to the original distance $d_{\mathcal{A}, D}$, one thus inherits from the cut-off two “truncated” distances: $d_{\mathcal{A}, D_N}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ and $d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N)$. To make the comparison between these distances possible, we use the injective map $\varphi^\sharp := \varphi \circ \text{Ad} P_N$ that sends a state φ of \mathcal{O}_N to a state φ^\sharp of \mathcal{A} . By pull back, one obtains three distances on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N)$:

$$d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}(\varphi, \psi), \quad d_{\mathcal{A}, D}^\flat(\varphi, \psi) := d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\varphi^\sharp, \psi^\sharp), \quad d_{\mathcal{A}, D_N}^\flat(\varphi, \psi) := d_{\mathcal{A}, D_N}(\varphi^\sharp, \psi^\sharp). \quad (2.6)$$

A sufficient condition that makes the truncated distance $d_{\mathcal{A}, D_N}^b$ equivalent to the “bi-truncated” distance $d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}$ is that [8, Prop. 3.5] the seminorm $L_N := ||[D_N, \cdot]||$ is *Lipschitz* [14], meaning that $L_N(a) = 0$ if and only if $a = \mathbb{C}1$. If in addition the non-truncated seminorm L_D is Lipschitz, or P_N is in the commutant \mathcal{A}' of the algebra \mathcal{A} , or P_N commutes with D , then one also has that $d_{\mathcal{A}, D}^b$ is equivalent to $d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}$.

In the commutative case, the set $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ permits to give a precise meaning to the notion of *truncated points*. By this we mean a sequence of states of \mathcal{O}_N that tends to some δ_x as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For instance on the circle, that is $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(S^1)$, the Fejer transform

$$\Psi_{x,N}(f) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N+1}\right) f_n e^{inx}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.7)$$

is a state of \mathcal{O}_N for P_N the projection on the first N negative and N positive Fourier modes [8, Lem. 5.10]. It is an approximation of the point $x \in S^1$ in that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{x,N}(f) = f(x) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(S^1). \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover this approximation deforms the metric structure of the circle but does not destroy it, since - with D the usual Dirac operator of S^1 - the bi-truncated distance between any two Fejer transforms is finite for any N [8, Prop. 5.11],

$$d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}(\Psi_{x,N}, \Psi_{y,N}) \leq d_{\text{geo}}(x, y), \quad (2.9)$$

and tends towards the geodesic distance for large N ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{O}_N, D_N}(\Psi_{x,N}, \Psi_{y,N}) = d_{\text{geo}}(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in S^1. \quad (2.10)$$

A similar example has been worked out on the real line [8, Prop. 5.7].

3. Convergence of truncations

Let us study in a more systematic way the idea introduced in the previous section of approximating a state by a sequence of truncated states. To this aim, take \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{H} and D satisfying the conditions of the precedent section, and let us consider a sequence $\{P_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of increasing finite-rank projections, weakly converging to 1. Under which conditions can states of \mathcal{A} be approximated by states of \mathcal{O}_N in such a way that the metric structure is preserved ?

For normal states ², the answer is simple in case the topology induced by the spectral distance coincides with the weak* topology. Then any normal states φ with density matrix R is the limit of its truncation [8, Prop. 4.2],

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\varphi, \varphi_N^\sharp) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where φ_N is the state with density matrix $Z_N^{-1}R$ where $Z_N := \text{Tr}(P_N R)$. One also has the convergence in the sense of metric spaces [8, Prop. 4.3]: $(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N), d_{\mathcal{A}, D}^b)$ converges to $(\overline{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A})}, d_{\mathcal{A}, D})$ for the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

² $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is normal if and only if there exists a positive traceclass operator R on \mathcal{H} (the density matrix) such that $\varphi(a) = \text{Tr}(Ra)$, $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$.

In case the topology of the spectral distance is not the weak* topology, the answer is more challenging. A preliminary step is to work out a class of states at finite distance from one another. In the commutative case, for \mathcal{M} connected and complete, such a class is given by states with finite moment of order 1. Recall that there is a 1-to-1 correspondance between states φ of $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ and probability measures μ on \mathcal{M} ,

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) d\mu(x) \quad \forall f \in C_0(\mathcal{M}).$$

For \mathcal{M} connected, the finiteness of the moment of order 1 of φ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\varphi, x') := \int_{\mathcal{M}} d_{\text{geo}}(x, x') d\mu(x) \quad (3.2)$$

does not depend on the choice of $x' \in \mathcal{M}$ and so is intrinsic to the state. If furthermore \mathcal{M} is complete, one has that the spectral distance d_φ between states with finite moment of order 1 is finite (see e.g. [9]).

In the noncommutative case, the correspondance between states and probability measure on the pure state space is no longer 1-1, as can be seen on easy examples such as $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. However in [8, §4.2] we proposed to give meaning to the notion of “finite moment of order 1” for normal states in the following way. Let φ be a normal state with density matrix R . Fix an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{B} = \{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} made of eigenvectors of R , with eigenvalues $p_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Denote $\Psi_n(a) := \langle \psi_n, a \psi_n \rangle$ the corresponding vector states in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$\varphi(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_n \Psi_n(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We call *moment of order 1 of R* with respect to the eigenbasis \mathfrak{B} and to a state Ψ_k (induced by a vector $\psi_k \in \mathfrak{B}$) the quantity

$$\mathcal{M}_1(R, \mathfrak{B}, \Psi_k) := \sum_{n \geq 0} p_n d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\Psi_k, \Psi_n). \quad (3.3)$$

Unlike the commutative case the finiteness of (3.3) is not intrinsic to the density matrix (hence even less to the state), because for the same density matrix R one may have that $\mathcal{M}_1(R, \mathfrak{B}, \Psi_k)$ is infinite for a given basis while $\mathcal{M}_1(R, \mathfrak{B}', \Psi'_k)$ is finite for another one [8, Ex. 4.6]. However, once fixed \mathfrak{B} , the finiteness of $\mathcal{M}_1(R, \mathfrak{B}, \Psi_k)$ does not depend on Ψ_k . We write $\mathcal{N}_0(\mathcal{A})$ the set of normal states for which there exists at least one density matrix R with an eigenbasis $\mathfrak{B} = \{\psi_n\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_1(R, \mathfrak{B}, \Psi_n) < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider then an increasing sequence $\{P_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of projections weakly convergent to 1. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_0(\mathcal{A})$ such that (3.4) holds for an eigenbasis \mathfrak{B} in which the P_N 's are all diagonal, there exists a sequence $\varphi_N \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\varphi, \varphi_N^\sharp) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

In other terms, any $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_0(\mathcal{A})$ can be approximated in the metric topology by a truncation φ_N . However unlike (3.1) where the truncating-projections P_N were fixed once for all, in case the metric topology is not the weak* the truncating procedure may depend on the state.

4. An unbounded example: Berezin quantization of the plane

We conclude by an example where the truncated Dirac operator is not bounded: the Berezin quantization of the plane. We omit the details that can be found in [8, §6.2]. For other applications of noncommutative geometry to Berezin quantization, see [12].

One starts with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{C}, \frac{d^2z}{\pi})$ and, for $\theta > 0$, define P_θ as the projection on the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_\theta := \text{Span} \left\{ h_n(z) := \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{\theta^{n+1}n!}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2\theta}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (4.1)$$

For D the Dirac operator of the Euclidean plane, the truncated Dirac operator

$$D_\theta := (P_\theta \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) D (P_\theta \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{a}^\dagger \\ \mathfrak{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

is unbounded ($\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{a}$ are the creation, annihilation operators on the h_n 's).

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denote the algebra of Schwartz functions on the plane, and denote \mathcal{O}_θ the order unit space generated by $P_\theta f P_\theta$ (for $f = f^* \in \mathcal{A}$). Both act on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. For any states φ, ψ of \mathcal{A} , define

$$d_{\mathcal{A}, D}^{(\theta)}(\varphi, \psi) := \sup_{f=f^* \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \varphi(f) - \psi(f), \|[D, B^\theta(f)]\| \leq 1 \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$B_\theta(f) : z \rightarrow \langle \psi_z, P_\theta f P_\theta \psi_z \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \psi_z = e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2\theta}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{z}^n}{\sqrt{\theta^n n!}} h_n \quad (4.4)$$

is the *Berezin transform* of f . One gets [8]

$$d_{\mathcal{A}, D}(\varphi^\sharp, \psi^\sharp) \leq d_{\mathcal{O}_\theta, D_\theta}(\varphi, \psi) \leq d_{\mathcal{A}, D}^{(\theta)}(\varphi^\sharp, \psi^\sharp). \quad (4.5)$$

In particular, the distance between coherent states $\Psi_z, \Psi_{z'}, z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$, is

$$d_{\mathcal{O}_\theta, D_\theta}(\Psi_z, \Psi_{z'}) = |z - z'|. \quad (4.6)$$

A similar result was found in [13] from a completely different perspective, based on the construction of the element that attains the supremum in the distance formula. This illustrates that the cut-off procedure could be an efficient tool to make explicit calculations of the distance.

References

- [1] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes. The spectral action principle. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 186:737–750, 1996.
- [2] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli. Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11:991–1089, 2007.
- [3] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. van Suijlekom. Beyond the spectral standard model: emergence of Pati-Salam unification. *JHEP*, 11:132, 2013.
- [4] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. van Suijlekom. Inner fluctuations in noncommutative geometry without first order condition. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 73:222–234, 2013.

- [5] A. Connes. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.*, 9:207–220, 1989.
- [6] A. Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [7] A. Connes and J. Lott. The metric aspect of noncommutative geometry. *Nato ASI series B Physics*, 295:53–93, 1992.
- [8] F. D’Andrea, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti. Spectral geometry with a cut-off: topological and metric aspects. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 82:18–45, 2014.
- [9] F. D’Andrea and P. Martinetti. A view on optimal transport from noncommutative geometry. *SIGMA*, 6(057):24 pages, 2010.
- [10] A. Devastato, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti. Grand Symmetry, Spectral Action and the Higgs mass. *JHEP*, 01:042, 2014.
- [11] A. Devastato and P. Martinetti. Twisted spectral triple for the standard and spontaneous breaking of the grand symmetry. *arXiv 1411.1320 [hep-th]*.
- [12] M. Englis, K. Falk, and B. Iochum. Spectral triples and Toeplitz operators. *arXiv 1402.30610*, 2014.
- [13] P. Martinetti and L. Tomassini. Noncommutative geometry of the Moyal plane: translation isometries, Connes’ distance on coherent states, Pythagoras equality. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 323(1):107–141, 2013.
- [14] M. Rieffel. Compact quantum metric spaces. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 2003.
- [15] W. van Suijlekom. *Noncommutative geometry and particle physics*. Springer, 2015.